12.30.

Group Art Unit: 2871

Examiner: Ngo, H.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of

Matsuyama et al.

Serial No.: 09/533,075

Filed: March 22, 2000

A LIQUID CRYSTAL DISPLAY HAVING AN APERTURE IN A PIXEL

ELECTRODE ALONG A BOUNDARY OF DIFFERENTLY ORIENTED

REGIONS

Honorable Commissioner of Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

RESPONSE TO INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Sir:

For:

Applicant respectfully submits that the Interview Summary dated November 21, 2002, is inaccurate in the indication that f) Agreement with respect to the claims was reached. Specifically, Applicant's Representative indicated that he was not authorized to agree to any claim amendments without the authorization and consent of the Applicant. Thus, the statement that "agreement was reached in the telephonic interview" is not accurate.

Further, Applicant respectfully notes that the <u>draft</u> claim amendments forwarded to the Examiner on November 15, 2002, are entirely different from the Examiner's listing on the Continuation Sheet (PTO-413) of what was allegedly agreed to. Additionally, Applicant clearly indicated on the face of the <u>draft</u> claim amendments forwarded to the Examiner on November 15, 2002, "*Please do not enter*". Therefore, agreement between Applicant's Representative and the Examiner was not and could not have been reached.

Further, in a teleconference conducted November 26, 2002, Applicant noted that the Interview Summary indicating agreement was <u>erroneous</u> and the Examiner confirmed that the paper was in error and that the proposed amendments would <u>not</u> be (and had not been) entered and an after-Final Office Action would be mailed shortly to make the Examiner's position of record.

080184/99

Thus, Applicant respectfully awaits the receipt of the Office Action.

Respectfully submitted,

Sean M. McGinn, Esq. Registration No.: 34,386

Date:

McGinn & Gibb, PLLC

8321 Old Courthouse Rd. Suite 200

Vienna, VA 22182-3817

(703) 761-4100

Customer No. 21254